5/28/76

Dear Dave,

This will have to be a shorter answer to your 5/25 than I'd like. Aside from the accumulation that just keeps accumulating, I've splurged and am in the middle of trying to reorganize my office so that I can better coexist with my limitations (all, happily, physical, unhappy as they are.)

I've made several deals. One i s for a second hand desk and two two-drawer legal size filed cabinets. They came this a.m. and all is confusion in my small office but the desk is in place. My purpose in all of this is to avoid having to get into the bottom drawers of all the file cabinets except where I'll have room for a stool, th two new ones. This means much shifting I'll have to do when and as I can.

I have one disagreement only with what you write:"Crazies would ultimately have to come to terms with the argument." Do not expect this. It won't happen. However, if you have the time it seems to me there is a useful purpose in what you propose. You might carry a standing head,"Crazies and Assassinations." There is a real problem today for the underinformed to distinguish fact from fancy and more importantly, the reverse.

However, I would not want to promise to put any time into this. It would come from work I must do. I want to finish this King draft and return to Agent Oswald. I did write Howard after hearing from you and for the fall term, if financing should by then be available, he is willing to be research assistant and more.

He called late last night. We spoke for quite some time. He is executive editor of the law review. That's my boy! (Boy?)

I had a phone call night before last from someone who had seen the originally-titled "Cover-Up." Mary and Penn helded Shaw and Harris. Figures. This caller described the 300 pictures as good one, some never before published.

You speak of the ripping off? Well, they haven't included me on their mailing list. But the book has been out long enough for this caller to have received and read it. This attitude, that theft is right and proper, has become the norm among the nuts and some of the so-called respectables, Anson, for example.

Jim is doing well incourt and on the district level we have two better judges. The prospects in those cases are, I think, good. One is for the remaininex executive session transcripts, the other for the King material. He argues orally before the appeals court in spectro Thursday.

Best to all and thanks,